	1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES					
AMENDMENT OF SOLICITA	TION/MODIF	ICATION OF CONTRACT		J	1   8		
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJ	ECT NO.(If applicable)		
0001	30-Aug-2006						
6. ISSUED BY CODE	N00174	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6)		CODE			
NAVSEA INDIAN HEAD  101 STRAUSS AVE BLDG 1558  WILLY.QUIAMBAO@NAVY.MIL  CODE C13Q  INDIAN HEAD MD 20640-5035		See Item 6					
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (	No., Street, County, S	state and Zip Code)	Х	9A. AMENDMENT OF N00174-06-R-0062	SOLICITATION NO.		
			Х	9B. DATED (SEE ITEI 17-Aug-2006			
		10A. MOD. OF CONTI	RACT/ORDER NO.				
CODE	FACILITY COD	E		10B. DATED (SEE IT)	EM 13)		
11. 7		PPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLI	CIT	ATIONS			
X The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth	in Item 14. The hour and o	date specified for receipt of Offer		is extended, X is not	extended.		
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods:  (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegramwhich includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegramor letter, provided each telegramor letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DA	TA (II required)						
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENT AL AGREEMENT IS		RSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and a	uthority)						
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sign	n this document and return	co	pies to the issuing office.			
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFIC where feasible.)	CATION (Organized	by UCF section headings, including solic	itat	ion/contract subject matt	er		
THIS AMENDMENT IS BEING ISSUED TO INCORI QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.	PORATE A PAST PER	RFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE/MATRI	X F	ORM, AND TO PROVIDE			
SEE PAGE TWO(2) FOR DETAILS							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the do	vument referenced in Items	Δ or IOΔ as heretofore changed soming as the	nged	and in full force and office			
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or p		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CO	_		pe or print)		
		TEL:		EMAIL:			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNEI		RIC		16C. DATE SIGNED		
		BY			30-Aug-2006		
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Of	fice	r)	20		

#### SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

## The following items are applicable to this modification:

#### **SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

 $1.\ THE\ FOLLOWING\ QUESTIONS\ AND\ ANSWERS\ ARE\ HEREBY\ INCORPORATED\ INTO\ SOLICITATION\ N00174-06-R-0062:$ 

1) Page 11 – Technical Capability: The first sentence states: "The offeror shall provide a concise list of tasks that the offeror will perform to meet or exceed the requirements in the RFP." I assume by "tasks" you mean the delivery and installation of the instrument and that I should detail how the system meets or exceeds the specifications. Is anything else required for this section?

**Answer**: This refers to how the vendor's system meets/exceeds instrument specifications and the delivery/installation plan.

2) Page 11 – Technical Capability – item (6): Can you clarify what you are expecting in the way of "addressing our ability to meet the demands of testing NATO STANAG 4515"? We have replaceable DSC sensors, furnace protective tube and furnace in case of an explosion. Is that type of information a sufficient response or are you looking for something more?

**Answer**: This is best met by providing the Navy with reference of laboratory users with similar instruments who regularly perform testing on energetic materials: DOD, DOE, DOD contractors, etc. Any additional information which includes User replaceable parts will be factored a plus in the RFP.

3) Page 10; item c, #3: For offeror's tracking number, is this a number that I generate or is there a tracking number that you have assigned?

**Answer**: This is an internal tracking number from the vendor to track down his/her proposal.

2. THE FOLLOWING PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE/MATRIX FORMS ARE HEREBY INCORPORATED INTO THE SOLICITATION AS PART OF FAR CLAUSE 52.212-2 EVALUATION—COMMERCIAL ITEMS (JAN 1999).

**SEE FOLLOWING FIVE (5) PAGES** 

## PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE COVER SHEET

## FOR SOLICITATION NUMBER N00174-06-R-0062

Name of Offeror questionnaire is being completed for:					
Name of agency/company completing questionnaire:					
Name of the person and title completing questionnaire:					
Length of time your agency/company has been involved with the Offeror:					
Type of work performed by referenced Offeror:					

## SUBMIT PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE TO:

NAVSEA INDIAN HEAD, SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DIVISION 101 Strauss Avenue, Bldg 1558 Indian Head MD 20640-5035 Willy Quiambao, Contract Specialist, Code C13Q

BY: Closing date of solicitation

# PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE INTERVIEW SHEET SOLICITATION NUMBER: N00174-06-R-0062

#### **RATING SCALE**

Please use the following ratings to answer the questions. If you are unable to rate an item because it was not a requirement, never an issue, or you have no knowledge of the item in question then you shall mark "N/A".

#### **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**Excellent -** The Offeror's performance was consistently superior.

The contractual performance was accomplished with few minor problems, for which corrective action taken

by the Offeror was highly effective.

**Good -** The Offeror's performance was better than average.

The contractual performance was accomplished with some minor problems, for which corrective actions taken by the Offeror were effective. They would be willing to do business with the Offeror again.

**Neutral** - No record exists.

**Poor -** The Offeror's performance was entirely unsatisfactory

and that they would not do business with the Offeror again under any circumstances. The contractual performance of the element being assessed contains problems for which the Offeror corrective actions

appear to be or were ineffective.

## **CUSTOMER SATISFACTION**

1.	The referenced Offeror was responsive to the customers needs.	Е	G N	ſ	P	N/A
2.	The Offerors personnel were qualified to meet the requirements.	Е	G N	ſ	P	N/A
3.	The Offer's ability to accurately estimate costs.	Е	G N	ſ	P	N/A

#### **TIMELINESS**

4. The Offeror's ability to ensure, to the extent of Its responsibility, that all tasks were completed within the requested time frame.

EGNPN/A

## **TECHNICAL SUCCESS**

The Offeror had a clear understanding of the work detailed in the SOW.
 E G N P N/A

6. The Offeror's ability to complete tasks correctly the first time.  $E \ G \ N \ P \ N/A$ 

7. The Offeror's ability to resolve problems. E G N P N/A

#### **QUALITY**

8. The Offer's quality and reliability of services delivered. E G N P N/A

 Quality, reliability, and maintainability of hardware delivered.
 E G N P N/A

#### **SMALL BUSINESS GOALS**

10. The Offeror complied with subcontracting plan goals for small disadvantaged business (SDB) concerns and monetary targets for SDB participation.

E G N P N/A

## PLEASE PROVIDE SUBJECTIVE REPONSES FOR THE FOLLOWING:

11.	Would you recommend this Offeror for similar Government contracts? Please explain:
12.	Have you experienced special or unique problems with the referenced Offeror that we shall be aware of in making our decision?
13.	In summary, which of the following would you choose to describe the quality of the referenced Offerors service:  Significantly better than acceptable  Slightly better than acceptable
	Acceptable
	Slightly less than acceptable
	Entirely unacceptable
14.	In summary, which of the following would you choose to describe reference Offerors willingness to cooperate to resolve performance disagreements:
	Highly cooperative
	Cooperative
	Somewhat uncooperative
	Highly uncooperative
Tha	ank you for taking the time to complete the above information.

## PAST PERFORMANCE MATRIX

References (Company Name, POC, telephone number)	\$ Value of Contract	Contract Completed on Time YES / NO	Contract Number and Description	Questionnaire was sent to the reference (date)	Completed Questionnaire Received at NAVSEA IH

NOTE: The Offeror shall complete the first five (5) columns of the Past Performance Matrix. Submit matrix with final proposal submission.

3. ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS AMENDMENT SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO WILLY QUIAMBAO AT 301-744-6789 OR EMAIL TO <u>WILLY.QUIAMBAO@NAVY.MIL</u>.